

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,597	09/26/2003	Junichiro Sakata	9319S-334DVA	8666	
7590 11/12/2004			EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, MINH T		
P.O.BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS,, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>B200</i> M1222	indus, in todos		2816		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
	10/672,597	SAKATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
•	Minh Nguyen	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			-				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	—· s action is non-final.						
<del></del>		secution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are pending in the applic							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/s		ted to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>		/ N = = /P\					
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/087,606.</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau	•						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/03.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	atent Application (F10-152)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ļ				

Application/Control Number: 10/672,597

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses words which can be implied, i.e., "is provided". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,597

Art Unit: 2816

3. Claims 16-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 and 1, respectively, of copending Application No. 10/087,606. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented (the copending Application No. 10/087,606 was allowed, however, a Patent No. has not yet been assigned).

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the claimed subject matter which is a vibrating reed having the structure recited in the body of each of claims 16-17 in the instant application is the same as claim 1 in the copending application 10/087,606 except the preamble of claims 16 and 17 recites the claimed subject matter used in an oscillator as called for in claim 16 or used in a vibrator with a control section as called for in claim 17.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 16-17 are allowable if a terminal disclaimer is timely filed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,597 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Claim 16 is allowable because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the inclusion of an elongated vibration arm (not section) having through groove and a rigidity reinforcing member spanning the through groove as recited in claim 16.

Claim 17 is allowable for the same reason noted in claim 16.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1748. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816 2/17/ort